

Message

---

**From:** Fairbanks, Brianna [Fairbanks.Brianna@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 2/11/2022 5:09:05 PM  
**To:** Chesnutt, John [Chesnutt.John@epa.gov]; Praskins, Wayne [Praskins.Wayne@epa.gov]; Sanchez, Yolanda [Sanchez.Yolanda@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** FW: Hunters Point - Parcel G ROD discussion

FYI, this is a summary from my conversation with the Navy's attorney on building demolition.

---

**From:** Ross, Steven G (Steve) CIV USN NAVFAC SW SAN CA (USA) <steven.g.ross13.civ@us.navy.mil>  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 10, 2022 7:56 PM  
**To:** Fairbanks, Brianna <Fairbanks.Brianna@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Hunters Point - Parcel G ROD discussion

Hi Brianna,

I wanted to briefly summarize our discussion from yesterday regarding possible future demolition of radiologically-impacted buildings under the Parcel G ROD at the former Hunters Point Naval Shipyard (HPNS). Specifically, we discussed whether such demolition would fall within the selected remedy for radiologically-impacted soils and buildings at Parcel G, as set forth in the Final Record of Decision for Parcel G dated February 18, 2009 (ROD). We also discussed supportive language from the Final Basewide Radiological Removal Action Memorandum – Revision 2006 (Action Memo) for such demolition.

We agreed the following language from the Parcel G ROD supports demolition of radiologically-impacted buildings within the current selected remedy for radiologically-impacted buildings and structures at Parcel G:

- The Public Summary for the ROD, which states in pertinent part:

The Navy considered the following remedial alternatives for radiologically impacted soil or structures: (1) no action; and (2) surveying radiologically impacted areas that may include structures and former building sites, decontaminating (and demolishing if necessary) buildings ... and disposing of radioactive sources and contaminated excavated soil at an off-site low-level radioactive waste facility. (Emphasis added).

- The selected remedy for radiologically-impacted soil and structures (Alternative R-2) at Parcel G, which states in pertinent part:

The Selected Remedy for radiologically impacted soil and structures consists of surveying radiologically impacted buildings and former building sites with documented radiological impacts for unrestricted release. Unrestricted release means that a property can be used for any residential or commercial purpose once regulatory requirements have been met. Decontamination will be performed and buildings will be dismantled if necessary .... (ROD at 42; Emphasis added).

You also pointed out the following language from the Action Memo, which supports demolition of radiologically-impacted buildings at Parcel G: “**Note.** if surface decontamination is not technically feasible, the entire structure may be removed and disposed of appropriately.” (Action Memo at 11; Emphasis in original).

Finally, you noted that any future workplan to demolish radiologically-impacted buildings under the Parcel G ROD should address “technically infeasibility.”

I appreciate having the opportunity to discuss this matter with you. If I missed anything, please let me know. Thanks again.

V/r,  
Steve

Steven G. Ross  
Supervisory Senior Associate Counsel (BRAC)  
NAVFAC SW Office of Counsel  
San Diego, CA  
[steven.g.ross13.civ@us.navy.mil](mailto:steven.g.ross13.civ@us.navy.mil)  
(619) 524-4520 (office)  
(503) 740-2273 (telework cell)